

Survey to Isolate

NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$

Sec. 9, T2N, R7W, W.M.

A 39" fir stump bears N 62° W 45.3 feet marked WC S8 1956 BT.

NOTE 2: At the corner to secs. 9, 10, 15 & 16, T2N, R7W I find pipe and four BT's (para. 1 above) set by Louis W. Amort, RE2311, for the Oregon State Board of Forestry. No original BT now exists, though an unmarked stump conforms in direction and distance with the notes which list only a 62" fir bearing S 13° E 31 lks, stating that there are no other trees convenient. In his description of the corner, dated Dec. 16, 1952, Mr. Amort states, "62" fir brs S13E 31 lks; now 50" snag, scribe marks T2 exposed on Burnt face." In a verbal communication Mr. Amort has stated that shortly thereafter he found the snag felled in logging and that he chopped the scribed section from the log and preserved it in his office.

The statement by the original surveyor that there was only one BT convenient to the corner is clearly erroneous.

The calls west, south and east in the original notes check the ground measurements and conform to the plat of 1891; however the calls to the north apply to a corner 510 feet south of this one and conform to measurements from the plat of 1893. The corner 510 feet south was complete with stone, post and BT's which have, this year, been destroyed by logging operations.

These two sets of corners occupy the junction line between the work of 1891 and that of 1893. It was apparently attempted to destroy the corners set in 1891, for which notes, authorization and plat exist, and to substitute therefore corrected corners in 1893, for which there was no authorization and for which the notes were not accepted. However, the rejected notes were utilized in preparing the 1893 plat. Since the southerly corners were neither authorized nor accepted, and since evidence of the true corners exists, the southerly corners have no legal justification.

NOTE 3: December 19, 1956 at the $\frac{1}{4}$ corner between sections 9 & 16, T2N, R7W I find a blazed tree conforming in age, size and direction of blaze with the original notes. The marks have been chopped away. From it I set a point S 30° E 27 feet. This point falls in the old blazed line and the calls east and west check the original notes. I place a 3" square post 4' long in a mound of stone for the corner. There is no evidence of the BT south.

NOTE 4: At the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ /16 corner in sec. 9, T2N, R7W I place a galv. iron pipe 1" diam, 30" long 20" in the ground marked T2N R7W S9 SE $\frac{1}{4}$ /16 1956 IS322 from which:

An 8" hemlock bears N 2° W 25.1 feet marked SE $\frac{1}{4}$ /16 BT.

A 48" hemlock stump bears East 22.5 feet marked SE $\frac{1}{4}$ /16 BT.

NOTE 5: Dec. 22, 1956 at the S $\frac{1}{4}$ /16 corner between secs. 9 & 10, T2N, R7W I place a 1" galv. iron pipe 30" long 20" in the ground marked T2N R7W S9 S $\frac{1}{4}$ /16 S10 1956 IS322 from which:

A 46" hemlock bears N 18° E 16.2 feet marked S10 $\frac{1}{4}$ /16 BT.

A 10" hemlock bears S 17° W 70.4 feet marked S9 $\frac{1}{4}$ /16 BT.

NOTE 6: Dec. 22, 1956 at the CE $\frac{1}{4}$ /16 corner of sec. 9, T2N, R7W I place a 1" galv. iron pipe 30" long 15" in the ground marked T2N R7W S9 CE $\frac{1}{4}$ /16 1956 IS322 from which:

A 42" fir stump bears S 75° W 46.9 feet marked S9 CE $\frac{1}{4}$ /16 BT. This stump is the easterly of a pair from the same root.